Selection of feature films by CVO

2398. SHRI DHARAM PAL SABHARWAL: Will the Minister of INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Chief Vigilance Officer (CVO) has been actively engaged in selection of feature films in Doordarshan;

(b) if so, whether it is not contrary to the guidelines set up by CVC on the role of CVOs; and

(c) what steps Government propose to take to ensure that feature films are reviewed by technical and professional officers instead of CVO of Doordarshan?

THE MINISTER OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING. (SHRI PRIYARANJAN DASMUNSI): (a) No, Sir. However, Additional Director General (Accounts and Finance) is a member of the Films Committee formed for selection of Hindi Feature Films, and presently he is also the CVO.

(b) No guidelines of CVC have been violated.

(c) Prasar Bharati is an autonomous organisation. However, the new guidelines of Hindi Feature Films have been prepared by them and put up before the Prasar Bharati Board for approval in which the provision for involvement of technical and professional officers has been proposed.

Elected trade union for contract workers employed in SEZ Units

2399. SHRI B.K. HARIPRASAD: Will the Minister of LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government propose to make it mandatory for all factories employing more than 1000 contract workers to have an elected trade union at par with the rights enjoyed by workers of the organized sector on regular payroll;

(b) if so, whether contract workers employed in SEZ units would be covered by the new legislation; and

(c) whether the proposed legislation also envisages a model code of conduct for the unions in contract labour units?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT (SHRI OSCAR FERNANDES): (a) No, Sir. There is no such proposal under consideration of the Government.

(b) and (c) Do not arise in view of (a) above.

Cases filed EPFC under labour laws

2400. SHRI VARINDER SINGH BAJWA: Will the Minister of LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the number of cases by various offices of the Employees Provident fund Commissioners (EPFC) against employers under various provisions of labour and